

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/080,0	60 06/23	/93 DORSCHUG	М	02481.07900

18N1/0214 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3315

1812	26
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLENEM	MINER

DATE MAILED:

02/14/95

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:			
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the	final rejection
b) 🗀		o of the final rejection or as of the ma eriod for the response expire later th		
	The date on which the response, to purposes of determining the period	ained by filing a petition under 37 Cf ne petition , and the fee have been f I of extension and the corresponding te of the originally set shortened stat	led is the date of the response amount of the fee. Any exter	e and also the date for the asson fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Z Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance	with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
	plicant's response to the final rejecti place the application in condition for	on, filed//3/95 has be allowance:	en considered with the followi	ng effect, but it is not deemed
1. 1	The proposed amendments to the	claim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection	stands because:
•	a. There is no convincing show presented.	ing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is nece	sary and was not earlier
	,	ould require further consideration ar	d/or search. (See Note).	
	c. They raise the issue of new	matter. (See Note).		
	d. They are not deemed to pla appeal.	ce the application in better form for a	appeal by materially reducing	or simplifying the issues for
	e. They present additional clair	ns without cancelling a corresponding	g number of finally rejected cl	aims.
2 3. <u>/</u> _	Newly proposed or amended claim the non-allowable claims. As a notice of appeal harmon the ning an appeal, the proposed so follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: However;	and enatement. The considered previous would be allo	Steps, and corrections and corrections of function of the function of the control	to He applicable of the Company of the Second Secon
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for	reconsideration has been considere	d but does not overcome the	rejection because
5. 🗀	The affidavit or exhibit will not be o presented.	onsidered because applicant has no		isons why it was not earlier
☐ The	proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by	the examiner. MARIAN PATEN	INE P. ALLEN EXAMINER OUP 1800